Nicholas & Peyrin $Summer\ 2021$

CS 161 Computer Security

Discussion 13

W

Web	Security			
Questic	on 1 True/false	(min)		
Q1.1	TRUE or FALSE: Under to communicate through na	the SOP, it is possible for two webpages with different origins to arrowly defined APIs.		
	TRUE	O FALSE		
	Solution: True. This i	s the postMessage API.		
Q1.2	TRUE or FALSE: Under the SOP, the webpage at https://example.com/randompic.html cannot fetch the image at https://cute-cats.com/cutest.jpg because they have different origins.			
	O TRUE	FALSE		
	Solution: False. A page can fetch images and content regardless of origin; the SOP only prevents it from determining detailed properties of cross-origin content.			
Q1.3	tains a child frame that	ose the webpage at https://example.com/index.html con- loads https://another-example.com/index.html. Under e can read and modify the properties of the child frame.		
	O TRUE	FALSE		
		ase example.com and another-example.com have different idered different origins and are therefore unable to access each		
Q1.4	contains a child frame th	E: Suppose the webpage at https://example.com/index.htm at loads https://example.com/views.html. Under the SOP, and modify the properties of the parent frame.		
	● True	O FALSE		
	Solution: True. Pages origin, and vice versa.	s are allowed to directly access child frames from the same		

Q1.5	TRUE or FALSE: Suppose the webpage at https://example.com/index.html loads and runs an external script from https://sample.com/script.js. Under the SOP, the script runs with the same origin as https://sample.com/script.js.				
	O TRUE		•	FALSE	
	Solution:	False. External scripts	run with the	origin of the page that f	etched them

Q1.6 True or False: Mallory convinces Alice to try out her custom browser, FireFaux. Webpages Alice visits using this browser may no longer be subject to the SOP.

TRUE	O FALSE

(in this case, https://example.com).

Solution: True. The SOP is enforced by the browser; if the browser is compromised, there is no guarantee that webpages will play by the rules.

Question 2 Cauliflower Smells Really Flavorful

(23 min)

califlower.com decides to defend against CSRF attacks as follows:

- 1. When a user logs in, califlower.com sets two 32-byte cookies session_id and csrf_token randomly with domain califlower.com.
- 2. When the user sends a POST request, the value of the csrf_token is embedded as one of the form fields.
- 3. On receiving a POST request, califlower.com checks that the value of the csrf_token cookie matches the one in the form.

othe	ume that the cookies don't have the secure, erwise. Assume that no CSRF defenses besides of in use (if you don't know what that means, andependent.	the tokens are implemented, and that CORS
Q2.1	Suppose the attacker gets the client to visit evil.com. What can they do?	their malicious website which has domain
	$\begin{tabular}{l} \square (A) CSRF attack against {\tt califlower.com} \\ \end{tabular}$	■ (D) None of the above
	☐ (B) Change the user's csrf_token cookie	□ (E) ——
	COOKIC	□ (F) ——
	\square (C) Learn the value of the session_id cookie	
Q2.2	Solution: The attacker's website is of a change/read any cookies for califlower CSRF attack since they can't guess the values. Suppose the attacker gets the client to visit evil.califlower.com. What can they do (G) CSRF attack against califlower.com (H) Change the user's csrf_token	c.com. As such, they not able to execute a ue of csrf_token. their malicious website which has domain? (J) None of the above
	cookie ■ (I) Learn the value of the session_id cookie	□ (L) —

Solution: Since the attacker's website is a subdomain for califlower.com, it can read/set cookies. The attacker can embed Javascript in their page to extract csrf_token and form a malicious POST request. Q2.3 Suppose the attacker gets the client to visit a page on the website xss.califlower.com that contains a stored XSS vulnerability (the website xss.califlower.com is not controlled by the attacker). What can they do? \blacksquare (A) CSRF attack against califlower.com \blacksquare (D) None of the above \blacksquare (B) Change the user's csrf_token \square (E) cookie □ (F) — ■ (C) Learn the value of the session_id cookie **Solution:** Utilizing the XSS vulnerability, the attacker can extract the csrf_token cookie and cause the user's browser to make a malicious POST request. Q2.4 Suppose the attacker is on-path and observes the user make a POST request over HTTP to califlower.com. What can they do? \blacksquare (G) CSRF attack against califlower.com \square (J) None of the above \blacksquare (H) Change the user's csrf_token \square (K) cookie □ (L) — ■ (I) Learn the value of the session id cookie **Solution:** The attacker can observe session_id and csrf_token in plaintext and forge a POST request. Also, they can spoof a response to the POST request, and include a Set-Cookie header in the response to change the csrf_token cookie. Q2.5 Suppose the attacker is a MITM and observes the user make a POST request over HTTPS to califlower.com. What can they do? □(A) CSRF attack against califlower.com □(C) Learn the value of the session_id cookie

 \blacksquare (D) None of the above

☐ (B) Change the user's csrf_token

cookie

□ (E) ——	□ (F) ——
----------	----------

Solution: Nothing, a MITM can't break learn/change the cookie values without breaking TLS.

Q2.6 Suppose the attacker is a MITM. The victim uses HTTP and is logged into califlower.com but will not visit califlower.com at all. Describe how this attacker can successfully perform a CSRF attack against califlower.com when the user makes a single request to any website. (Hint: Remember a MITM can modify a webpage over HTTP since there are no integrity checks.)

Solution: The MITM can modify the website's response to add an img tag or some sort of element that will cause the user's browser to make a request to califlower.com. The attacker can then extract session_id and csrf_token from the request.

Then there are two ways the POST request could be made. When the attacker forces the user to visit cauliflower.com, they can extract csrf_token and embed javascript in the response which makes a POST request alone with the hardcoded value of csrf_token. Or once the attacker has session_id and csrf_token they can make the request themselves.

Question 3 SQL Enumeration

(21 min)

Alice runs a computing cluster. When a user wants to execute some job \$job, they visit:

https://alice.com/execute?job=\$job

Alice's server locally stores a SQL table named dns:

IP	hostname	jobs
10.120.2.4	gpus.alice.com	matrix-multiplication
10.120.2.75	cpu1.alice.com	matrix-addition
10.120.2.6	cpu2.alice.com	matrix-addition
:	:	:

Upon receiving a request, Alice's server makes the following SQL query:

SELECT IP, hostname FROM dns WHERE jobs='\$job' ORDER BY RAND() LIMIT 1

where \$job is copied from the request parameter. This SQL query finds all hosts in dns whose jobs field equals the string \$job, and randomly returns one of them. If successful, the job is sent to the specified IP, and the following webpage is returned:

Successfully launched job on hostname!

Otherwise an error code is returned. hostname is copied from the SQL query result.

Q3.1 What type of attack is the server vulnerable to?

- (A) SQL injection
- (B) ROP attack
- (C) CSRF attack
- (D) Path traversal attack
- (E) None of the above
- (F) —

Solution: The query is vulnerable to SQL injection since the statement is not parameterized and no escaping happens.

Q3.2 Alice modifies the server to check that \$job contains only letters (a-z), dashes (-), quotes ('), and/or spaces ('). If \$job contains any other character, it rejects the request without making any SQL queries. Assume that the server's code includes the entire response from the SQL query in the web page for debugging purposes.

TRUE OR FALSE: It is possible to choose a value for \$job that will let Mallory learn all hostnames that can handle a matrix-addition job in a single visit to the web page. If you choose true, show such a value; if you choose false, explain why it's no longer possible. (Hint: -- starts a SQL comment. Assume that it does not need to be preceded or followed by a space.)

(G) True (H) False	\bigcirc (I) —	(J) —	(K) —	(L) —
--------------------	------------------	-------	-------	-------

```
Solution: matrix-addition'--
```

Q3.3 Instead of the checks in the previous part, Alice implements a simple filter on the value of \$job:

```
def sanitize(job):
    job = job.replace('--', '') // Deletes all occurrences
        of --

job = job.replace(';', '') // Deletes all occurrences
        of;
return job
```

After calling sanitize, she checks that the result contains only letters (a-z), dashes (-), quotes ('), and spaces (), then uses it in the SQL query.

TRUE OR FALSE: It is still possible to choose a value for \$job that will let Mallory learn all hostnames that can handle a matrix-addition job in a single visit to the web page. If you choose true, show such a value; if you choose false, explain why it's no longer possible.

```
Solution: matrix-addition'-;-
```